

- 1 Henry C. Bunsow (SBN 60707)
bunsowh@howrey.com
- 2 Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)
demoryd@howrey.com
- 3 Brian A.E. Smith (SBN 188147)
smithbrian@howrey.com
- 4 HOWREY LLP
525 Market Street, Suite 3600
- 5 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 848-4900
- 6 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

7 | Attorneys for Plaintiff
AUTHENTEC, INC.

J. JAMES LI (SBN 202855)
lij@gtlaw.com

DAVID J. PEREZ (SBN 238136)
perezd@gtlaw.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303
Telephone: (650) 328-8500
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

14 Attorneys for Defendant
ATRU TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Elizabeth Hoult Fontaine (SBN 207557)
fontainee@howrey.com
HOWREY LLP
4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700
Irvine, California 92614
Telephone: (949) 721-6900
Facsimile: (949) 721-6910

MARK L. HOGGE
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

hoggem@gflaw.com

www.gsuaw.com

(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

maheshwaris@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
2101 L Street, N. W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20037

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

AuthenTec, Inc., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Atrua Technologies, Inc., a California corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. C 08-1423 PJH

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16 and ADR Local Rule 3,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff AuthenTec, Inc., (“AuthenTec”) and defendant Atrua Technologies, Inc. (“Atrua”) that the parties to this action hereby select and stipulate to mediation as the selected ADR process in this case.

111111

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: June 26, 2008

HOWREY LLP

4 By: /s/Denise M. De Mory
5 Denise M. De Mory
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
AUTHENTEC, INC.

7 Dated: June 26, 2008

GREENBERG TAURIG, LLP

8
9 By: /s/Shailendra K. Maheshwari
10 Shailendra K. Maheshwari
11 Attorneys for Defendant
ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: _____

14 _____
15 Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton, Judge
16 United States District Court – Northern District
17 of California
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATION BY DENISE M. DE MORY PURSUANT TO GENERAL RULE NO. 45,

SECTION X. RE E-FILING ON BEHALF OF MULTIPLE SIGNATORIES

3 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of California, and am a partner in
4 the law firm of Howrey LLP, counsel for plaintiff AuthenTec, Inc. The statements herein are made on
5 my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness I could and would testify thereto.

6 2. The above e-filed document contains multiple signatures. I declare that concurrence
7 has been obtained from each of the other signatories to file this jointly prepared document with the
8 Court. Pursuant to General Rule No. 45, I shall maintain records to support this concurrence for
9 subsequent production for the Court if so ordered, or for inspection upon request by a party until one
10 year after final resolution of the action (including appeal, if any).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct on June 26, 2008.

/s/Denise M. De Mory
Denise M. De Mory